# Iowa Department of Inspections and Appeals

Investigations Division

State Fiscal Year 2017 Activities Report

December 2017

**IOWA DEPARTMENT OF** 

INSPECTIONS & APPEALS

# **Contents**

Administrative Overview	1
Background	. 1
Statistics at a Glance	2
Referral Sources	2
Investigative Recoveries	.3
Economic Fraud Control Bureau	4
Application/Review/Recertification (pre-eligibility)	
Ongoing (post-eligibility)	
Process improvement	
Economic Fraud Control Bureau (EFCB) activity	
Divestiture Unit	. 7
Public Assistance Debt Recovery Unit	
Overpayments	3.
Overpayment collection	3.
Medicaid Fraud Control Unit	1(
Investigations opened	
Prosecutorial referral & charges	11
Looking Forward	12

### **Administrative Overview**

The Iowa Department of Inspection and Appeals (DIA) is pleased to submit this report regarding the State Fiscal Year 2017 (SFY17) activities of the Investigations Division in accordance with House File 640, which states:

The department, in coordination with the investigations division, shall submit a report to the general assembly by December 1, 2017, concerning the division's activities relative to fraud in public assistance programs for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2016, and ending June 30, 2017. The report shall include but is not limited to a summary of the number of cases investigated, case outcomes, overpayment dollars identified, amount of cost avoidance, and actual dollars recovered.

# **Background**

Located within the DIA, the Investigations Division is responsible for maintaining public assistance program integrity and accountability through the prevention, detection, and investigation of public assistance fraud and overpayments. The Division is comprised of the following areas:

- Economic Fraud Control Bureau conducts public assistance applicant/recipient preeligibility, post-eligibility, and divestiture alleged fraud investigations.
  - Electronic Benefit Transfer (EBT)/Program Integrity Unit conducts investigations related to the misuse and trafficking of EBT cards.
  - O Divestiture Unit conducts investigations into transfers of assets by a person (within five years of applying for or receiving Medicaid benefits) to determine if that transfer was made inappropriately in order to obtain or maintain benefit eligibility according to Iowa Code 249F.
- Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) conducts investigations of alleged Medicaid provider fraud and criminal investigations of alleged resident abuse and neglect in Medicaid-reimbursed health care facilities.
- Public Assistance Debt Recovery Unit collects identified overpayments of public assistance payments. Public assistance programs include the Medicaid program, the Family Investment Program (FIP), the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), Promise Jobs, HAWK-I, IowaCare, and Child Care Assistance.

Additionally, the Division has three other areas that are included in this section for reference. As their work product does not fall within the parameters of House File 640, they are included here in order to provide a comprehensive picture of the Division.


- Human Services Audits reviews and verifies facility billing and personal allowance
  accounts, ensures state billings accurately reflect the facility census and ensure
  expenditures of local Iowa Department of Human Services (DHS) office administrative
  expense claims and official receipts are in accordance with the criteria set forth by state
  law, rules, and procedures.
- Professional Standards Unit investigates professional practice complaints on the behalf
  of the Iowa Department of Public Health for 19 different licensure boards regulating 39
  professions. These investigations assist the licensing boards to maintain their mission of
  protecting the public health, safety, and welfare by licensing qualified individuals and
  enforcing Iowa's statutes and administrative rules fairly and consistently.
- Abuse Coordinating Unit operates Iowa's dependent adult abuse program within the state's facilities and programs, in accordance with Iowa Code chapter 235E.

### Statistics at a Glance


### Referral Sources

During SFY17, the Investigations Division assigned a total of 5,085 referrals involving the State's public assistance programs.

As illustrated to the right, economic eligibility referrals (both pre and post) comprised approximately 94.4% of the SFY17 referrals.



A comparison of referrals by program area over the last five years is illustrated on the following page.



### **Investigative Recoveries**


During SFY17, the Division recognized \$24,071,952in investigative recoveries, comprised of civil judgments, criminal restitution, and cost avoidance estimates<sup>1</sup>.

MFCU recoveries accounted for 80.1% of the total dollars recovered, as illustrated to the right.

The three categories of investigative recoveries are defined as follows:

must pay back to the State.


- Civil judgements a valid court order establishing a debt (from an overpayment of public assistance benefits) that a recipient
- Criminal restitution a repayment to the state that results from a criminal conviction of a benefit recipient who was prosecuted for fraudulently receiving benefits.
- Cost avoidance (reformulated in SFY17) the mathematical calculation of either:
  - What an application would have cost the State for a six month period if the application had been approved; or



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cost avoidance methodology was reformulated per a DHS/DIA Kaizen event. SFY17 is the first year of this new methodology, wherein DHS is performing the calculations. The process has undergone several revisions during this FY which resulted in understated cost avoidance calculations for the Economic Fraud Control Bureau (EFCB).

 What a recipient receiving benefits would have continued to receive from the time the investigation concluded through the remainder of the certification period.

A comparison of investigative recoveries by program area over the last five years is illustrated below.



### **Economic Fraud Control Bureau**

Economic Fraud investigations are broken into two categories: pre-eligibility investigations and post-eligibility investigations.

# <u>Application/Review/Recertification (pre-eligibility)</u>

Application/review/recertification investigations are cases referred for investigation and completed prior to the DHS certifying that a client is eligible for assistance. Investigators assist in front-end detection by timely investigating referrals in error-prone cases and gathering additional information regarding a client's circumstances. Positive application/review/recertification investigations prevent fraud at intake and before a dollar loss can occur, resulting in a cost avoidance figure. An investigation may also result in a civil or criminal prosecution that leads to program disqualification.

# Ongoing (post-eligibility)

Ongoing investigations are investigations completed after the DHS has determined that a client is eligible for benefits. Positive ongoing investigations may result in civil or criminal prosecution and the establishment of a claim to recover the amount of benefits over-issued or the amount trafficked (sold or traded for personal use).

Investigations may involve applicants/recipients who misrepresent their circumstances in order to be eligible-for or to receive more benefits than they would receive based on their actual circumstances. This may include misrepresenting who is actually in the household and all income and living expenses of the household. Other investigations may involve unintentional errors by the applicant/recipient in reporting income or other information.

### **Process improvement**

As noted earlier, the DIA did not conduct pre-eligibility investigations prior to SFY13. Prior to that time, the DIA received referrals from the DHS only after benefits had been issued, which often resulted in additional resources being utilized to identify and recover benefits that had already been provided. There was an identified need to focus on conducting investigations early in the eligibility determination process before benefits were paid out.

In June of 2012, DHS former Director Palmer and DIA Director Roberts brought the two agencies together to conduct a Kaizen event. During the event, the two agencies created a new process for pre-eligibility investigations, streamlined the post-eligibility process, and substantially improved inter-agency communications. As a result, the total number of cases the DHS referred to the DIA increased by nearly 2,200 in SFY13 when compared with SFY12, and cost savings to the public assistance programs increased by \$25,153,844 compared to SFY12.

A week long DHS/DIA follow-up Kaizen event was held in December 2015. Strong collaboration from both agencies resulted in several procedural changes resulting in new methodologies for calculating cost avoidance. Cost avoidance is now calculated by the joint Integrated Claims Recovery Unit (ICRU) created during the 2012 Kaizen event. The new processes created an even greater need for communication between agencies in their partnership to reduce fraud, waste and abuse.

### Economic Fraud Control Bureau (EFCB) activity


During SFY17, the Economic Fraud Control Unit (EFCU) closed 5,232 investigations, and has closed an average of 5061 investigations per year over the last five fiscal years:

	SFY13	SFY14	SFY15	SFY16	SFY17
Pending cases at the beginning of the Fiscal Year	722	813	932	582	637
Cases Referred to DIA	5,641	4,703	4,446	5,200	5,294
Cases Completed	5,550	4,584	4,796	5,145	5,232
Pending cases at the end of the Fiscal Year	813	932	582	637	646


### <u>Investigative recoveries in pre and post-eligibility</u>

During SFY17, the Division recovered \$4,008,807 as a result of application/review/recertification (preeligibility) and ongoing (post-eligibility) investigations.

As illustrated to the right, Title XIX and SNAP investigative recoveries comprised approximately 14% and 70% of the SFY total, respectively.



A comparison of application/review/recertification (pre-eligibility) and ongoing (post-eligibility) investigative recoveries by program area over the last five years is illustrated below. Similar to SFY16, Title XIX and SNAP investigative recoveries account for the largest portion of pre and post eligibility recoveries over the last five years, averaging 87% of the total.



### **Divestiture Unit**


The Divestiture unit operates within the Economic Fraud Control Bureau to identify and recover assets that an individual has transferred in an attempt to improperly or illegally qualify for state public assistance funding. This unique program focuses on recovering transferred assets and ensuring these assets, rather than Medicaid funds, are used to support the original asset owner.

During SFY17, the Divestiture unit closed 106 cases; a summary of the unit's caseload for the last five years is summarized below:

	SFY13	SFY14	SFY15	SFY16	SFY17
Pending cases at the beginning of the Fiscal Year	308	407	321	240	181
Cases Referred	442	184	184	240	158
Cases Completed	343	270	265	299	106
Pending cases at the end of the Fiscal Year	407	321	240	181	298

During SFY17 those 106 case closures resulted in \$780,610 in investigative recoveries; a summary of the unit's recoveries for the last five years is illustrated below:





# **Public Assistance Debt Recovery Unit**

Once the DIA staff have identified illegally or inappropriately obtained benefits, several measures may be taken to recover the identified state-paid benefits or overpayment. An

overpayment is any food program, cash, medical or vendor payment made by the DHS which is more than a person is eligible for and is received by, or on behalf of that person.

### <u>Overpayments</u>

The most common reasons for overpayments include:


- A client, either intentionally or unintentionally, failed to provide correct or complete information to the field office.
- A client, either intentionally or unintentionally, failed to report changes in their circumstances due to income, household composition, lump sum payments or other benefits, employment status, or receipt of property that directly affects their eligibility.
- Alteration of a benefit check, medical card or EBT card.
- A client reported changes that affect eligibility are not updated, and the client continues to receive benefits based on information previously obtained.


### Overpayment collection

Overpayments, if not paid in full by check or money order when a judgment is entered, can be collected by the following methods:

- Monthly payments can be set up by contacting the Public Assistance Debt Recovery Unit and agreeing to an approved payment plan.
- Grant reductions from open TANF (cash) cases are credited to TANF overpayments.
   Allotment reductions from open SNAP (food program) cases are credited to SNAP overpayments. In the above programs only, overpayments categorized as fraud have 20% reductions and all other overpayment program types have 10% reductions. No benefit reductions are permitted from any other program.
- State tax refunds and US Treasury (federal) payments may be offset. State offsets will be
  applied to both public assistance and food program overpayments. US Treasury offsets
  are applied only to food program overpayments.
- Wage and bank garnishments and recorded property liens may be initiated if timely payments are not made.

During SFY17, the Public Assistance Debt Recovery Unit collected \$3,256,504; the five-year trend is illustrated below.





### **Medicaid Fraud Control Unit**

Medicaid is a jointly funded federal and state health insurance program that provides healthcare to more than 560,000 Iowans. As Medicaid is both a federal and state funded program, the State of Iowa is required by Federal law to have a Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) which is responsible for policing those federal and state dollars.


Investigators and auditors in the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) investigate allegations of Medicaid fraud by health care providers in the State of Iowa. They also investigate allegations of abuse and neglect of residents in health-care facilities as well as allegations that residents have been defrauded of personal funds.

Common types of health care provider fraud may include:

- Billing for services not rendered;
- Billing for a non-covered service as a covered service;
- Misrepresenting dates of service;
- Misrepresenting locations of service;
- Misrepresenting provider of service;
- Waiving of deductibles and/or co-payments;
- Incorrect reporting of diagnoses or procedures (includes unbundling);
- Overutilization of services;
- Corruption (kickbacks and bribery); and/or
- False or unnecessary issuance of prescription drugs.


### **Investigations opened**

During SFY17, the MFCU received 522 complaints of Medicaid fraud, suspected patient abuse or neglect, or theft of patient property. Of the complaints received, 251 resulted in a formal investigation being opened, with 73% of the opened investigations relating to suspected provider fraud, as illustrated to the right.



Although almost half of complaints received by the MFCU involved abuse or neglect, most of those complaints are handled by local law enforcement, allowing the MFCU to focus more on complaints of provider fraud and theft of patient funds.

An analysis of types of investigations opened by MFCU over the last five years is illustrated below. Similar to SFY16, investigations involving allegations of provider fraud represent the largest portion over the last five years, averaging 78.4% of the total.





### Prosecutorial referral & charges

Once the MFCU completes an investigation, a determination is made regarding which cases it will refer to county attorneys for criminal prosecution. During SFY17, 94 cases were referred for criminal prosecution, as illustrated to the right.

Of those 94 referrals, the prosecuting authority pursued charges on 69 cases, with the historical trending over the last five years illustrated on the following page:

### Prosecutorial Referral, SFY17





During SFY17, criminal convictions were obtained in 62 charged cases, with 28 convictions involving provider fraud, 33 involving patient abuse or neglect and 1 conviction involving patient theft<sup>2</sup>. Additionally, the MFCU referred 30 cases to the Iowa Medicaid Enterprises' Program Integrity Unit for administrative recovery during SFY17.

# **Looking Forward**

The Investigations Division is committed to reducing fraud, waste and abuse. We will continue to build on Division successes as well as streamline and create more efficient processes. We will refocus on the enforcement tools available to us and maximize our efforts in areas we have the current resources to produce tangible results. We will continue our collaboration with local, state and federal partners, and maximizing our impact on protecting the integrity of government public assistance programs.

DIA – Investigations Division

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Note that the number of criminal convictions may include cases that were opened, referred, or charged during a previous fiscal year.